

NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION

To be cited only in accordance with FED. R. APP. P. 32.1

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit

Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted February 26, 2024*

Decided March 1, 2024

Before

DIANE S. SYKES, *Chief Judge*

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Circuit Judge*

AMY J. ST. EVE, *Circuit Judge*

No. 23-2484

MICHAEL BRAUN and
JENNIFER SAMUELS,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

MATTHEW TERMAAT, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the
United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Wisconsin.

No. 23-CV-301-JPS

J.P. Stadtmueller,
Judge.

ORDER

Michael Braun and Jennifer Samuels brought a sprawling civil-rights suit against a wide range of defendants in Walworth County, Wisconsin (police officers, police departments, municipalities, a state-court judge, and the county itself), alleging corruption in connection with the seizure of their horses, a criminal proceeding involving their son, and a search and seizure that occurred at their home. Iterations of

* We have agreed to decide the case without oral argument because the appeal is frivolous. FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2)(A).

their complaint were dismissed at screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or failure to properly allege subject-matter jurisdiction. Ultimately, the district judge, adopting a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, dismissed the complaint with prejudice.

On appeal Braun and Samuels do not engage the judge's reasons for dismissing their suit and instead rehash the allegations in their complaint, adding accusations of corruption against the federal judges here. We understand that Braun and Samuels are litigating their claims without an attorney, but that does not excuse them from the requirement that their brief on appeal contain an argument challenging the judge's reason for dismissal with citations to supporting authority. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 28(a)(8)(A); *Anderson v. Hardman*, 241 F.3d 544, 545 (7th Cir. 2001). From their brief we discern no basis to disturb the district court's judgment.

DISMISSED