

NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION

To be cited only in accordance with
Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

United States Court of Appeals

**For the Seventh Circuit
Chicago, Illinois 60604**

March 25, 2008

Before

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Chief Judge*

TERENCE T. EVANS, *Circuit Judge*

ANN CLAIRE WILLIAMS, *Circuit Judge*

No. 04-3478

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

RICHARD S. CONNORS,
Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District
Court for the Northern District of Illinois,
Eastern Division.

No. 01 CR 326

Ronald A. Guzman, *Judge.*

ORDER

This case, unfortunately, fell through the cracks, but this order, finally, closes it.

On March 21, 2006, we issued an opinion affirming the conviction of Richard Connors. United States v. Connors, 441 F.3d 527 (7th Cir. 2006). But we also ordered a limited remand pursuant to United States v. Paladino, 401 F.3d 471 (7th Cir. 2005), so the district court could tell us if the sentence Connors received would be different in light of United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). On February 20, 2007, the district

court responded to our order and concluded that it would have imposed the same sentence had it known that the sentencing guidelines were advisory, rather than mandatory. On February 27, 2007, we invited the parties, if they wished, to respond to the district court's order. Mr. Connors responded with a "Statement of Position" on March 6, 2007. The government did not respond, and we didn't act promptly on the matter. But today we act on the matter, albeit not very promptly.

Having considered the matter, we conclude that Mr. Connors received a reasonable sentence. Accordingly, the sentence imposed by the district court is **AFFIRMED**.