

UNPUBLISHED ORDER
Not to be cited per Circuit Rule 53

United States Court of Appeals
For the Seventh Circuit
Chicago, Illinois 60604

June 7, 2006

Before

Hon. RICHARD A. POSNER, *Circuit Judge*

Hon. JOHN L. COFFEY, *Circuit Judge*

Hon. ANN CLAIRE WILLIAMS, *Circuit Judge*

No. 04-2584

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

DANIEL ROSS,
Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District
Court for the Southern District of
Illinois.

No. 02 CR 40004

William D. Stiehl,
Judge.

O R D E R

After we ordered a limited remand, *see United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005); *United States v. Paladino*, 401 F.3d 471, 484 (7th Cir. 2003), the district judge informed us that he would have imposed the same sentence on Daniel Ross had he known the sentencing guidelines were advisory. Because that sentence is within the properly calculated guidelines range, it is presumptively reasonable. *United States v. Mykytiuk*, 415 F.3d 606, 608 (7th Cir. 2005). Ross now contends that his sentence is unreasonable because it is longer than necessary to promote respect for the law and because his time incarcerated will leave him inadequately educated and vocationally unprepared. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). But neither these arguments nor our independent review suggest unreasonableness, and therefore the judgment is **AFFIRMED**.